

San Diego River Conservancy (SDRC)
MINUTES of AUGUST 12, 2005 PUBLIC MEETING
(Draft Minutes for Approval December 2, 2005)

Acting-Chair Frye called the August 12, 2005 meeting of San Diego River Conservancy to order at 9:11 AM.

1. Roll Call

Members Present:

Donna Frye, Acting-Chair (*City Council of San Diego*)
Toni Atkins (*Public at Large, Appointed by Assembly*)
Jim Bartell (*Public at Large, Appointed by Governor*)
Jim Peugh (*Public at Large, Appointed by Senate*)
Sam Schuchat (*Secretary of Resources Agency -Alternate*) – Arrives at 9:25am
Dr. Susan Hector (*Public at Large, Appointed by Governor*) -- Arrives at 9:28am

Non-Voting Members Present:

Jack Minan (*San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Designee*)

Others Present:

Mike McCann (*San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Alternate Designee*)
Deborah Jayne, Executive Officer
Jamee Jordan Patterson, Deputy Attorney General
Susan Huntington, staff

Absent:

Anne Sheehan (*Director of Finance Designee*)
Norman Roberts (*Public at Large, Appointed by Governor*)
Karen Scarborough (*Secretary of Resources Agency Designee*)
Al Wright (*Executive Director, Wildlife Conservation Board*)

2. Approval of Minutes

Board Member Atkins moved approval of the minutes of the June 10, 2005 public meeting. The motion was seconded by Board Member Bartell and adopted by a voice vote of 6-0.

3. Public Comment

Any person may address the Governing Board at this time regarding any matter within the Board's authority which is not on the agenda. Submission of information in writing is encouraged. Presentations will be limited to three minutes for individuals and five minutes for representatives of organizations. Presentation times may be reduced depending on the number of speakers.

There were no public comments.

4. Chairman's and Governing Board Members' Comments

These items are for Board discussion only and the Board will take no formal action.

Board Member Minan reiterated the need to work towards increasing public presence of the Conservancy. He asked for an update on the progress of efforts to get the Conservancy's name displayed on the front of the building. Mike McCann (Regional Water Quality Control Board) and Deborah Jayne provided a brief status report and tentative plans to move forward. Board Member Minan also suggested that the Conservancy Governing Board Member's photographs be displayed in the Regional Board Public Meeting Room.

5. Executive Officer's Report (Deborah Jayne)(10 minutes)

The following topics *may* be included on the Executive Officer's Report. The Board may take an action:

- a) Executive Officer Activities
- b) FY 2005/2006 SDRC Budget
- c) FY 2006/2007 SDRC Budget
- d) Grantville Redevelopment Plan
- e) SDSU Campus Master Plan Expansion/Adobe Falls
- f) San Diego River Park Draft Master Plan, City of San Diego
- g) Culverts vs. bridges in Mission Valley (Fashion Valley Road)
- h) Five-Year Infrastructure Plan
- i) Upcoming Medical Leave
- j) Environmental Services Contract
- k) Nomination of Member Minan and RWQCB for ABA Award

In the interest of time, Deborah Jayne gave her report on only those items which required either a quorum or feedback from the Governing Board (Items e, f, and j above).

E. SDSU Campus Master Plan Expansion/Adobe Falls

Deborah Jayne gave an update on the status of the SDSU Campus Master Plan Expansion and the Conservancy's efforts to communicate to decision-makers the inadequacy of the Draft Environmental Impact Report. Ms. Jayne requested feedback from the Governing Board on their expectations and priorities as related to San Diego State University.

Board Member Atkins suggested that staff not spend additional time locating alternative housing sites for the proposed faculty housing development at Adobe Falls. Board Member Minan agreed that there are other priorities right now and that staff's efforts ought to be directed towards establishing a positive working relationship with the University, rather than investing further time on negotiating for alternative housing. He suggested writing a letter expressing our concerns. Mr. Minan notes that State agencies are under a different legal obligation than private parties with regard to consideration of alternative sites because they possess powers which private parties do not have. He was not persuaded with SDSU's argument that they are not required to look at alternative sites. Mr. Minan opined that SDSU likely is required to

consider alternative sites and suggested crafting the letter to SDSU with advice from our council. Acting Chair Frye recommended sending a letter to the University encouraging and requesting SDSU to include an alternative housing analysis as part of the environmental analysis.

Two members of the public spoke on this item. Bill White commented that the residents of Del Cerro would be good allies to work with in the community. They may have informal lines of communication which could help educate the neighborhood on potential courses of action. Suzanne Michel (Watershed Coordinator for the Wetlands Recovery Project) indicated that there are numerous environmental academics on campus, as well as the SDSU field research stations, that may be interested in working in partnership with the Conservancy. Ms. Michel explained there is supposed to be a field research station in the San Diego River and this is a great opportunity to request one.

J. Environmental Services Contract

Board Member Atkins made a motion to adopt Resolution 05-25, with additional language specifying that the Executive Officer is authorized to enter into no more than three Environmental Service Contracts through December 2005. The motion was seconded by Board Member Schuchat and passed by a voice vote of 5-0. (Board Member Bartell had to leave prior to this vote.)

F. San Diego River Park Draft Master Plan, City of San Diego

Due to the Board's prior action on Item 16 (adopting Resolution 05-22 to expend the \$240,000 settlement funds on a river-wide hydrology study) Acting-Chair Frye requested that this item be brought back to the Board for further deliberation at a future meeting once potential funding has been identified.

Deborah Jayne suggested that all other topics on the Executive Officer's report were included in the written report.

6. Deputy Attorney General's Report (Jamee Patterson)

The Deputy Attorney General did not have a report.

Continue Items 9 and 12

9. Partnership with University of San Diego

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (tentative Resolution 05-17) authorizing the Executive Officer to explore a potential long-term partnership with the University of San Diego. The purpose of the partnership is to further the missions of both the University and the Conservancy. (Deborah Jayne)

12. Resolution of Appreciation for Board Member Jennifer Kraus

Upon approval of the Governing Board, Acting-Chair Frye will present Board Member Kraus with a Resolution of Appreciation (tentative Resolution 05-19) for her service to the San Diego River Conservancy. (Acting-Chair Frye) (10 minutes)

Board Member Peugh made a motion to continue Agenda Items 9 and 12 to the October 14, 2005 meeting. The motion was seconded by Board Member Atkins and passed by a voice vote of 6-0.

Consent Items 7, 8, 10

7. New Proposition 50 River Parkways Funds

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-15*) authorizing the Executive Officer to prepare and submit an application(s) for grant funding through the California River Parkways Grant Program established by Proposition 50 and administered by the California Resources Agency. (*Deborah Jayne*)

8. Partnership with San Diego State University

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-16*) authorizing the Executive Officer to explore a potential long-term partnership with San Diego State University. The purpose of the partnership is to further the missions of both the University and the Conservancy. (*Deborah Jayne*)

10. Partnership with San Diego Police Department

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-18*) authorizing the Executive Officer to explore a potential partnership with the San Diego Police Department. The purpose of the partnership is to increase public safety in the San Diego River Park. (*Deborah Jayne*)

Board Member Bartell made a motion to approve Consent Items 7, 8, and 10. The motion was seconded by Board Member Atkins and all passed by a voice vote of 6-0. (The Board adopted Resolutions 05-15, 05-16 and 05-18, respectively.)

Discussion Items

11. Election of Pro Tem Vice-Chair

The Pro Tem Vice-Chair Subcommittee will report its recommendations to the full Governing Board. The Board will consider and possibly elect a Pro Tem Vice Chair to serve during the remainder of the current two-year term (ending November 12, 2005). (*Acting-Chair Frye, Board Member Atkins*) (*10 minutes*)

Board Member Atkins requested clarification from the Deputy Attorney General on whether a non-voting member could serve as the Pro Tem Vice Chair. Ms. Patterson reported that the Conservancy's enabling statute provides that the Governing Board can elect a Vice-Chair from all its members, including its non-voting members.

Board Member Atkins moved to nominate Board Member Minan as Pro Tem Vice Chair. The motion was seconded by Board Member Bartell and passed unanimously by a voice vote of 6-0. Board Member Minan said he would be honored to serve in that capacity.

13. TransNet Mitigation Funds: Availability to the Conservancy

Rob Rundle (TransNet Project Manager, SANDAG) will present an overview of the process, priorities, and availability of TransNet Mitigation Funds to the San Diego River Conservancy. The Board may take an action. (*Rob Rundle*) (20 minutes)

Rob Rundle (TransNet Project Manager, SANDAG) provided a presentation to the Board on SANDAG's Environmental Mitigation Program, which included the program's background, key elements, decision making entities and process, and next steps. Acting-Chair Frye thanked Mr. Rundle and Mr. Craig Scott for their flexibility and patience in giving this presentation. She reassured him that this is an important subject to the Board and apologized for the delay.

After giving an overview of the program, Mr. Rundle explained two ways that the Conservancy could potentially have access to TransNet funds. SANDAG is looking at establishing mitigation banks for direct future environmental impacts of transportation improvement projects. A \$650 million Transportation Project Mitigation Fund will be established for this purpose. The goal is to create mitigation projects in the watershed in which the impacts occur. So to the extent that there are transportation improvement projects in the San Diego River watershed, there will be mitigation opportunities. Board Member Minan pointed out that the SR-52 widening is a project of particular concern to the Board because it falls directly within the San Diego River watershed.

In addition to the mitigation funds, the Regional Habitat Conservation Funds (approximately \$200 million) will be released incrementally over time for monitoring, management and acquisition of regional habitat necessary to implement the MSCP and MHCP. These funds will be much more flexible because they are not directly related to mitigation. For example, one could purchase a land-type that isn't specifically being impacted but is necessary to implement the habitat plans. The Regional Planning Committee will be making recommendations to the SANDAG Governing Board about how the Regional Habitat Conservation Funds should be spent. Mr. Rundle anticipates that SANDAG will be seeking project proposals to take to their Board. The Conservancy certainly would be able to apply through this process.

To be prepared, Mr. Rundle suggested that the Board establish a set of priority acquisitions for implementing its program. Board Members Bartell and Peugh requested that the Executive Officer identify the areas under the Conservancy's jurisdiction that are within the MSCP and MHPA, as well as wetlands that need to be restored. These areas will serve as the important nexus allowing Conservancy projects to qualify for the TransNet funding.

Board member Bartell made a motion to accept the report. The motion was seconded by Board Member Atkins and passed unanimously by a voice vote of 6-0.

14. FY 06 Federal Appropriation for Acquisitions in Cleveland National Forest / Headwaters of San Diego River

The Conservancy requested a \$500,000 FY 06 Federal Appropriation to support acquisitions of Cleveland National Forest "in-holdings" within the headwaters of the San Diego River. H.R. 2361, Department of Interior Appropriations Bill, provides for

acquisition of lands within the Cleveland National Forest (along with seven other forests) and allocates just over \$1 million to be shared among the eight named forests. The Board may adopt a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-20*) authorizing (1) the use of Proposition 40 River Parkways Funds for State match purposes; and (2) the initiation of willing seller negotiations. (*Deborah Jayne*)(20 minutes)

Deborah Jayne gave an update on the status of FY 06 Federal Appropriations pre-proposal she submitted on behalf of the Conservancy for the acquisition of lands within the Cleveland National Forest. Daniel Aklufi (Assistant, Lands, Minerals and Recreation Officer, Cleveland National Forest) and Tina Terrell (Forest Supervisor, Cleveland National Forest) were introduced and briefly addressed the Governing Board.

Board Member Hector expressed concern that environmental studies should be completed prior to dead tree removal to ensure that no sensitive biological and cultural resources are damaged in the process. Ms. Terrell clarified that the parcels have burned. However, there are no major dead trees in that area which will need to be removed (the Cleveland Forest is approximately 70% chaparral; trees are primarily restricted to mountain top areas).

Deborah Jayne reported that there has not been a recent appraisal of the three parcels. Adjacent parcels have recently been appraised. It has yet to be decided who will pay for the appraisal of the three parcels. Ms. Jayne suggested that it is possible that the City of San Diego may be willing to pay for appraisal costs. Alternatively, she will seek Proposition 40 funding for the cost of the appraisal. Board Member Schuchat recommended that Tentative Resolution 05-20 be expanded to provide the Executive Officer with the authority to pay for appraisal costs.

Board Member Bartell made a motion to adopt Resolution 05-20 and to authorize the Executive Officer to pay for the appraisal, if necessary. The motion was seconded by Board Member Atkins and passed unanimously by a vote of 6-0.

15. Senate Bill 153 – “California Clean Water, Clean Air, Safe Neighborhood Parks, and Coastal Protection Act of 2006”

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-21*) authorizing the Executive Office to seek augmentation to the \$10 million line-item allocation currently proposed for the San Diego River Conservancy in Senate Bill 153. An overview of the currently proposed funding breakdown will be provided. (*Deborah Jayne*)(10 minutes)

Deborah Jayne provided a brief overview of the Conservancy’s currently proposed line-item allocation in Senate Bill 153, and asked the Board to consider strategies for possible augmentation of that allocation.

Board Member Atkins supported an effort by the Executive Officer and Governing Board members to advocate and negotiate for an increase. She pointed out that the Conservancy has strong Board and significant community support. The Conservancy

has worked diligently on developing a list of important projects and is in the position to move forward on acquisition and restoration projects.

Board Member Minan stated that it is important for the Conservancy to go on record as supportive of the use of specific allocations to the Conservancies as a course of action. The Board also agreed that it is important to demonstrate that the value of the Conservancy's top priorities greatly exceeds the \$10 million currently proposed and that timeframes for these opportunities are limited...

Board Member Minan also pointed out that approximately 97% of the funding currently allocated in this bill is north of the San Diego region. He suggested making the local state representatives aware of this inequitable distribution of the funds.

Board Member Atkins made a motion to adopt the Resolution 05-21 (with the changes shown in capital letters below) and that the Governing Board members participate in the effort to assist the Executive Director with this request.

"The Governing Board of the San Diego River Conservancy hereby strongly supports the augmentation of the currently proposed \$10 million line-item allocation for the San Diego River Conservancy in SB 153.

THE GOVERNING BOARD SUPPORTS SPECIFIC MONETARY ALLOCATIONS TO CONSERVANCIES. HOWEVER, THE FINANCIAL NEEDS OF THE SAN DIEGO RIVER CONSERVANCY ARE GREATER THAN THE \$10 MILLION LINE-ITEM ALLOCATION CURRENTLY PROPOSED BY SB 153. CURRENTLY OUR TOP PRIORITIES HAVE A VALUE OF APPROXIMATELY \$35 MILLION, AND THERE IS A LIMITED TIMEFRAME FOR THESE OPPORTUNITIES.

The Governing Board authorizes the Executive Officer to transmit Resolution 05-21 and a cover letter requesting support for a larger San Diego River Conservancy line-item allocation to Senator Chesboro, Senator Kehoe, Assemblymember Pavley, all San Diego-based state legislators, and key staff members. Furthermore, the Governing Board authorizes the Executive Officer to work cooperatively with State legislators and key partners to augment the proposed capital outlay funding for the San Diego River Conservancy."

Board Member Peugh seconded the motion and it was adopted by a voice vote of 5-0. As a representative of the Administration, Board Member Schuchat abstained from voting on this item.

16. Recommendation for Use of \$240,000 Settlement Award: River-wide Hydrologic Assessment and Other Alternatives

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-22*) authorizing the Executive Officer to enter into a contractual agreement for the conduct of a river-wide hydrologic assessment. Alternative uses for the funds will also be presented. (*Deborah Jayne*)(15 minutes)

Deborah Jayne provided a brief overview of tentative Resolution 05-22 and her reasons for recommending the use of the \$240,000 settlement funds on a river-wide hydrology in collaboration with the Conservancy's partners.

Potential partners for the study include the City of San Diego (to pay for the portion of the study within their jurisdiction), San Diego State University (to pay for the portion of the study of all the tributaries which flow into the River, including Alvarado Creek) and the Conservancy (to pay for the portion from El Capitan Dam downstream to the City of San Diego's jurisdiction).

Board Member Minan suggested setting aside enough money from the \$240,000 for follow-up actions, i.e., to implement the study's recommendations. Ms. Jayne reported that cost estimates she has received to date are roughly \$200,000. Costs will be further reduced with partner participation.

Board Member Peugh recommended including specific, clear objectives for the study. He would like to make sure that this study does more than add to our understanding of the River's hydrology. Ms. Jayne agreed and assured the Board that the objective of the study is to provide useful information for decision-makers and implementable follow-up recommendations. Board Member Bartell concurred that this is a critical baseline project that needs to move forward because it impacts all the things that the Conservancy is going to do from this point forward. He also expressed concern about taking too much responsibility for information required under CEQA for specific projects. Chair Frye pointed out that the purpose of this study is to provide the big picture, river-wide hydrology analysis that is lacking in the project- by- project analyses typically found in CEQA documents.

Board Member Bartell made a motion to adopt Resolution 05-22, with additional language that the Executive Officer has the flexibility, within the resolution's general authorization, to continue to act on the hydrologic study once it has been completed. This motion was seconded by Board Member Atkins and passed unanimously by a vote of 6-0.

17. Protection of Life and Property From Flooding Along the San Diego River

The Executive Officer will provide an informational report on a variety of approaches used in Southern California and elsewhere to minimize public and private losses due to flooding. The Board may take an action. *(Deborah Jayne) (20 minutes)*

Deborah Jayne suggested that the Governing Board consider the physical and financial impacts of flooding along the San Diego River as relevant to the mission of Conservancy. This agenda item was intended to simply introduce the concept and ask that Board begin a dialogue on this topic.

Ms. Jayne stated that she would like to present a report to the Board which focuses on 1) destruction to life and property; 2) financial consequences of local level decisions; and 3) long-term ecological consequences. She stated that her research is in its initial stages. Board Member Minan requested that Ms. Jayne included information on federal laws which may influence this discussion.

Acting-Chair Frye requested that the Executive Officer bring back this topic at a future meeting.

18. Status of Previously Approved Proposition 40 River Parkways Projects

Attached is a status report on the three projects previously authorized by the San Diego River Conservancy Governing Board for Proposition 40 River Parkways funding. The Board may take an action. *(Deborah Jayne)(10 minutes)*

Deborah Jayne provided a status report to the Board on three projects previously authorized by the Governing Board for Proposition 40 River Parkways funding.

The City of San Diego has submitted their final applications to the Resources Agency for the two previously endorsed projects (construction of a bicycle pathway and the restoration of Mission Valley Preserve). The San Diego River Park Foundation is in the process of drafting their application and taking it before its Governing Board.

Acting Chair Frye recommended that the Board establish general protocols and reporting requirements when it adopts resolutions related to funding to avoid tying up resources indefinitely.

She also requested Mr. Hutsel (Executive Director, San Diego River Park Foundation) attend the next Conservancy meeting to provide an update on the progress of applying for the Proposition 40 River Parkways funds for the Eagle Peak acquisition.

19. New Proposition 40 River Parkways Proposals *(Deborah Jayne)(10 minutes)*

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution *(tentative Resolution 05-23)* authorizing the Executive Officer to secure River Parkways Proposition 40 funding for:

- (1) Cedar Creek Falls Trail – Continue construction of Cedar Creek Falls Trail in partnership with Cleveland National Forest (and possibly Helix Water District)
- (2) Potential San Diego River Acquisitions
- (3) Other potential projects

The Executive Officer provided a detailed report on three alternative projects for the remaining \$5 million Proposition 40 River Parkways funds. Depending on costs, the Conservancy may have the ability to move forward with more than one alternative. Depending on timing and “readiness”, all of the projects would also be excellent candidates for upcoming Proposition 50 River Parkways funds as well.

Potential San Diego River Acquisitions

Ms. Jayne recommended moving forward with the potential San Diego River acquisitions as presented to the Board by the City of San Diego at two previous Board meetings.

Board member Bartell requested a status report on the Board’s prior request for a parcel inventory and “Priority Projects” list. The Executive Officer commented that a formal comprehensive document will be presented to the Board in the Five-Year Infrastructure Plan which is currently being organized. Board Member Bartell

stressed that as additional money becomes available, acquisition decisions really need to be made in the context of a larger master plan.

Board Action:

Board Member Atkins moved that the Executive Officer has the Governing Board's authority to proceed with the potential San Diego River acquisitions as described in the accompanying Executive Officer's Summary Report, Option 2. The motion was seconded by Board Member Schuchat and passed unanimously by a vote of 6-0.

Conservancy's Role

The Board then discussed the specific role that the Conservancy should play in these acquisitions considering numerous factors including the need for the Conservancy to build its track record. Ms. Jayne recommended that the Conservancy provide the funding for the acquisitions and that the City take title to and hold the lands in perpetuity.

Board Member Minan commented that the appropriate strategy of acquisition must address the Conservancy's current sunset clause and its ability to hold and maintain properties in perpetuity. Board Member Schuchat noted that it is not unusual to have a provision in a deed which names a successor or a process for transferring interest to another party. Board Member Minan requested that such a provision be included in the deed of conveyance for all of the Conservancy's direct property acquisitions.

Board Member Schuchat advised the Conservancy to carefully consider its long-term ability and resources to own and manage land directly, including liability issues. He indicated that most entities in the land acquisition business these days, prefer to help their partners or non-profits acquire land. Mr. Schuchat assured the Board that there are many effective ways for the Conservancy to get appropriate recognition for its role as the "funder" including press conferences, signage, websites, etc. The Conservancy will look to Mr. Schuchat for guidance and expertise in getting the recognition necessary to build the Conservancy's track record. Board Member Minan suggested that the Conservancy develop standard protocol for recognition of its contributory role.

Board Action:

Board Member Atkins moved that the Conservancy provide the funds for the acquisitions and that the City own and maintain the lands in perpetuity as dedicated / protected parklands. The motion was seconded by Board Member Schuchat and passed unanimously by a vote of 6-0.

Cedar Creek Falls Trail and "Other Potential Projects"

Should funding be available, the Board was also supportive of pursuing the additional alternative projects presented in the Executive Officer Summary Report. The four listed projects are (1) invasive vegetation mapping and removal (in partnership with the San Diego Police Department); (2) replacement of all culverts with bridges in Mission Valley (in partnership with the City of San Diego); (3) bicycle/walking pathway under highway 163; and (4) any one of many projects in the City of San Diego's draft Master Plan for the San Diego River Park.

Regarding a potential partnership with the San Diego Police Department, Board Member Atkins requested that a letter of appreciation be sent of behalf of the Conservancy to the Department to thank them for their stewardship of the River. Acting-Chair Frye supported this request and directed the Executive Officer to add the San Diego Police Department's River Cleanup Project to an upcoming Conservancy agenda.

Board Action:

Board Member Atkins made a motion supporting the use of Proposition 40 funding to partner with the Cleveland National Forest to continue construction of a trail between San Diego Country Estates and the San Diego River gorge. The motion was seconded by Board Member Schuchat and passed unanimously by a vote of 6-0.

In addition to supporting the four alternatives provided in the "Other Potential Projects" list, Board Member Peugh moved that a formal water quality study (identifying threats and remedies) also be added to the list and supported by the Board. The motion was seconded by Board Member Atkins and passed unanimously by a vote of 6-0.

20. Potential \$50,000 SEP from Metropolitan Transit System (MTS)

Consideration and possible adoption of a resolution (*tentative Resolution 05-24*) authorizing the Executive Officer to compete for a \$50,000 Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) award from MTS. The SEP is part of a larger settlement between the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region and MTS for discharges to the San Diego River associated with construction activity at MTS' Mission Valley East Light Rail Transit Project. (*Deborah Jayne*)(10 minutes)

Board Member Atkins noted that she serves on the MTS Board and asked the Deputy Attorney General about any conflicts of interest in voting on this agenda item. The Deputy Attorney General advised that there are no conflicts of interest as long as Board Member Atkins does not reveal MTS discussions which occurred in closed session.

Board Member Minan made a motion to 1) amend the language of Resolution 05-24 to give the Executive Officer general authorization to prepare and submit a Supplemental Environmental Project to MTS; and 2) to direct the Executive Officer to prepare a more general resolution for the Board's consideration which authorizes the Executive Officer to apply for ALL potential funding sources, including SEPs and other settlement monies, as a matter of course.

The motion was seconded by Board Member Peugh and passed unanimously by a voice vote of 6-0.

21. Administrative Matters

This item is for minor administrative matters only and the Board will take no formal action.

22. Executive Session

Following or any time during the meeting, the Governing Board may recess or adjourn to closed session to consider pending or potential litigation; property negotiations; or personnel-related matters. Authority: Government Code Section 11126(a), (c) (7), or (e).

23. Upcoming Events

San Diego River Park Foundation's 4th Anniversary Celebration
Friday, September 16, 2005. 5:30PM - 7:30PM.

24. Arrangements for Next Meeting and Adjournment**Friday, October 14, 2005**

9:00 am to 11:30 am

Location: San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Office
9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, California
(858) 467-2733

Acting-Chair Frye adjourned the meeting at approximately 11:45am.